An overview of signing and DNSSEC deployment

João Damas
ISC

ICANN 39, Cartagena de Indias, Colombia. December 2010

Overview

- Yes, let’s do it
- ...but, what does it mean to sign a zone?
- Administrative aspects of signing
- Operational aspects of signing

What does DNSSEC signing give you?

DNSSEC

- does not encrypt data
- does not identify the servers

- protects data against tampering while travelling the net

The equivalent of the green label is provided by the registrar checking the customer

Administrative aspects of signing

- Cost (making it small_{er})
  - how big is the zone?
  - expected initial uptake?
  - where to keep the keys?

- From the above follow some operational consequences

Operational aspects

- Choosing keys
  - just follow widespread advice. Don't be creative where you don't need to be.
- Where to store the keys
  - HSMs
  - Offline machines
  - USB keys
- Document and publish your approach
  - there are models out there to be used [1]

Signing

One thing affects most operational considerations with DNSSEC

Signatures are BIG

How big?

www.isc.org. 600 IN A 149.20.64.42

www.isc.org. 581 IN RRSIG A 5 3 600 20101227233208 20101127233208 14457 isc.org. pBzL/ uIDgwebXk46zGuFOzc49wPefgH8MfaCsMoyS3IGibJwv7V1/Egu qENHUz7Q8a0plRhHPVh0+9bnDhPE0qvTBcHQUifVqPrj6umAfqdyht1/ vRqLYGvXcosPLcEHw84RJHFFlFTGw7C1IOhg9PI9UDNwvkMI1ChPuE5P mAs=

Signing

- a small detail
  - Delegations and glue do NOT get SIGNED
  - wonderful for a TLD

Signing - proof of ∄

- Proof of non-existence
  - A nameserver's ability to tell you that there is no data for the question being asked and to prove it by signing the no-data answer
    - Need to pre-compute
    - NSEC (next secure)

```
dig mail2.isc.org +dnssec ↵
mail.isc.org. 3600 IN NSEC manx.isc.org. A AAAA RRSIG NSEC
```

Signing - proof of ∄

- Duplicates the size of the zone (and then you add the size of the signatures)
  - –zones become 4-7 times bigger
- to the rescue...

Signing - proof of ∄

- NSEC3
  - – really stands for “you loose some, you gain some”
  - –Official excuse reason: privacy
  - –Real benefit: opt-out
    - allows a zone administrator to designate intervals in the zone for which no NSEC3 are generated
    - In a delegation heavy zone (e.g. a TLD), reduces the increase in size dramatically

Signing - proof of ∄

- Example

.org has ≅5000 NSEC3 records

  - mostly from A records that are not glue
  - Only these (and the .org records themselves) get signed
  - increment in size is minimal

Signing - proof of ∄

- What do you loose?
  - the proof of ∄ in the gaps

Operational impact

- Need to be careful with those keys
- Don’t let signatures expire (!)
- Estimate signing time - do it offline
- Check your available bandwidth
- Check the RAM (and disk) in your servers
- Publish your policy
- DO NOT FORGET THE REGISTRY

Conclusion

- It is doable
- There are various automation tools
- Understand what is being done
  - even if you outsource
- Go through the checklist
- Ask for assistance. We have all made mistakes

Questions?

Just ask now (DNSSEC is much more of a beast than we are)
Grab me (or us)
Send email ☞ joao@isc.org

References

- [1] draft-ietf-dnsop-dnssec-dps-framework-03.txt or succesors
- http://www.dnssec.net/
- DNSSEC in 6 minutes
  - http://www.isc.org/files/DNSSEC_in_6_minutes.pdf